

Radiation Physics Note 55

Neutron and Temperature Response of HPI 1010's

John Larson

July 1985

I. Introduction

The anomalously low neutron response of the HPI 1010 (#2) used in R. P. Note 48 was studied in comparison to the other HPI 1010's at the lab. In addition, the temperature response was studied, as this has been a problem in the past.

II. Experimental Method

A. For the neutron response portion of the test, the method and sources described in R. P. Note 48 were used. No outdoor measurements were made, however. Data was taken only indoors at Site 68, in the second floor southeast corner room. No correction is made for possible neutron enhancement through scatter.

B. For the temperature portion of the test, the environmental chamber was used to vary the temperature of the instruments while they were being exposed to neutrons (PuBe 238-5.5-1) and then gammas (15 each Cs 137-0.3-xx). The target temperatures were -20°C, +25°C and +50°C. The instruments were allowed to stabilize at the desired temperature for about a day before readings were taken.

III. Results

A. The results of the neutron tests are given in Table 1. The column labelled "Ratio Measured/Expected" has been adjusted for background subtraction, calibration correction factor, gamma component subtraction, and then compared to the expected neutron dose rate of 0.54 mRad/hr. The column labelled "Error % Neutron Response" indicates the amount of under-response to neutrons.

The neutron responses for the HPI 1010's varied from -27% to -48%, with the average being -40%. Although all HPI 1010's under-responsed, the one (#2) used for R. P. Note 48 happened to be the worst (-48%). The best response (-27%) came from chamber S/N 213. This is type A150 plastic. The other chambers are type A174. The data also indicates that the neutron response was slightly better for radial (side) exposure than for axial (front) exposure. For those instruments tested both ways, the average radial response was -35%, while the average axial response was -44%.

B. The results of the temperature tests are given in Table 2. All

of the instruments have negative temperature coefficients ranging from $-0.13\%/\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $-0.66\%/\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for neutron and $-0.15\%/\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $-0.66\%/\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for gamma. The average is $-0.38\%/\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Individual instruments have similar neutron and gamma temperature responses. Graph 1 and Graph 2 indicate the slopes for the temperature responses for neutron and gamma, respectively. The slopes are steepest for $+25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $+50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

IV. Conclusions

- A. These tests confirm the low neutron response of HPI 1010 #2 used for R. P. Note 48. In addition, HPI 1010's as a species have low neutron responses, though #2 was the worst tested. For best neutron response, the instruments should be calibrated and used with the source of the radiation to the side (radial). The single instrument with type A150 plastic has a better neutron response than the others with A174 type. Also, there is a large variation in neutron response from instrument to instrument.
- B. All of the instruments tested have negative temperature coefficients. The error slope becomes greater with higher temperatures. There is a large variation in temperature response from instrument to instrument.

Body #	Chamber #	Plastic orientation	Back- background meter Reading	Neutron meter Reading	Subtr. factor	Gamma Cs 137 - 3.5-1 PoBe 238 - 7.2 - 1	Neutron meter Reading	Background neutrons meter reading	Ratio %	Error %	Comments	
									Bkgd. corr.	Meter Reading	Subtr. Reading	Neutron Response
1	C80	A174 radial	.0159	.41	.393	.905	.60	.584	.524	.349	.65	-35%
1	213	A180 radial	.0132	.3975	.3843	.924	.635	.622	.574	.394	.733	-27% new on Body #2 used in
2	C79	A174 axial	.0133	.41	.3967	.891	.53	.517	.464	.284	.525	-47% RP note #48 used in
2	C79	A174 radial	.0133	.39	.3767	.945	.50	.487	.460	.280	.518	-48% RP note #48
3	C60	A174 axial	.0153	.3975	.3822	.931	.54	.525	.484	.304	.572	-43%
3	C60	A174 radial	.0153	.385	.3647	.963	.555	.540	.512	.340	.63	-37%
4	C501	A174 axial	.017	.4175	.4005	.889	.58	.563	.500	.320	.513	-41%
4	C501	A174 radial	.017	.415	.398	.894	.64	.623	.557	.377	.693	-30% old data from
2	C79	A174 axial	.016	.402	.386	.922	.498	.482	.444	.265	.44	-51% RP note #48 For
173	New chipmunk	radial	.167	.566	.399	.892	.944	.827	.738	.558	1.03	+3% comparison

Notes: Cs 137 - 3.5-1 dose rate = .372 mR/hr x .957 mRad/hr/mR/hr = .356 mRad/hr

Correction Factor = .356 mRad/hr / meter reading.

Corrected Reading = meter reading x correction factor.

PoBe 238 - 7.2 - 1 dose rate = .54 mRad/hr Neutron + .18 mRad/hr Gamma
Measurements made at site CB, second floor, SE corner room.

Neutrons PuBe2 38-5.5-1							Gamma 15 each Es137-0.3-xx						
Body #	chamber #	plastic reading	room reading	hot reading	slope	cold reading	room reading	hot reading	slope	Comments			
1	213	A150	5.4 -21°	5.2 22°	4.3 53°	-2.28%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	5.1 -18°	4.8 22°	4.1 51°	-1.32%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Loaned from HPI -		
1	C80	A174	4.7 -19°	4.4 24°	3.7 53°	-1.32%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	4.9 -18°	4.3 23°	3.7 53°	-1.39%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	now on body #2		
2	C79	A174	5.1 -13°	4.7 23°	3.9 53°	-1.39%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	3.5 -12°	3.2 22°	2.8 49°	-1.36%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Used in		
3	C60	A174	3.5 -17°	3.5 23°	3.2 48°	-1.13%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	2.1 -15°	3.0 23°	2.6 50°	-1.15%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Best Temperature Response		
4	C501	A174	3.9 -15°	3.8 22°	2.5 50°	-1.57%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	3.4 -20°	2.8 23°	2.1 50°	-1.66%/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Worst Temperature Response		

TABLE 2	Comparison of HPI 1010	Temperature	Response for Neutrons and Gamma

HPT 1010 Temperature response - Neutrons - Pulse #3-5,5-1

Graph #1

Chamber

Bottle
#213 #1

#C7P #2
#C8D #1

#160 #3

#ESB #4

10

20

30

40

50

60

70

Meter reading

0

-10

-20

-30

-40

-50

-60

-70

-80

-90

-100

-110

-120

-130

-140

-150

-160

-170

-180

HPI 1010 Temperature response - Gamma - CS 137

Graph #2

